

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
APPLICATION NO.	FILI	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/045,155	01/15/2002		Yosato Hitaka	03500.016098.	8865
5514	7590	07/13/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRI			RUDY, A	RUDY, ANDREW J	
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				3627	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/045,155	HITAKA, YOSATO				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 A	pril 2005.					
2a)[☐	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowar						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-10,12-30 and 32-40</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>11</u> is/are rejected.						
· · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[_]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) $\square$ objected to by the $ extst{E}$	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen		<b>—</b>					
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		atent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and T	rademark Office		<del></del>				

Application/Control Number: 10/045,155 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, claims 11 and 31, and claims 11 and 32 from the species requirement, in the reply filed on April 25, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the claims are linking claims the Groups are substantial similar in scope and content. This is not found persuasive because Applicant elected the linking claims and not the apparatus. Thus, the analysis that Applicant supplied is not on point. Also, pursuant to MPEP 806.05 (e), it is the Examiner's position that the linking claim is not patentable. It is noted that elected species claim 32 is directed towards unelected Group IV and will not presently be examined.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 1-10, 12-30 and 31-40 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on April 25, 2005.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/045,155 Page 3

Art Unit: 3627

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

- The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).
- 5. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Stewart, US 6,714,964.

Stewart discloses an information processing apparatus for requesting a print order via the Internet, e.g. cols. 5-8.

6. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Matsuyama et al., US 6,886,028.

Matsuyama discloses a print ordering means, e.g. 105, and uploading means, e.g. 104 and input requesting means, e.g. 102, used over the Internet.

The applied reference has a common assignee with the instant application.

Based upon the earlier effective U.S. filing date of the reference, it constitutes prior art under 35 U.S.C. 102(e). This rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) might be overcome

Application/Control Number: 10/045,155

Art Unit: 3627

either by a showing under 37 CFR 1.132 that any invention disclosed but not claimed in the reference was derived from the inventor of this application and is thus not the invention "by another," or by an appropriate showing under 37 CFR 1.131.

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
  - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
  - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
  - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
  - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gotanda et al., US 6,707,570.

Gotanda discloses, e.g. Figs. 16-20, a network, inputting means, e.g. 122-123, uploading means, e.g. 147, and print ordering means, e.g. 204. Gotanda does not disclose the network as the Internet. Official Notice is taken that Internet networks used in a print order environment has been common knowledge in the art prior to Applicant's filing date.

Application/Control Number: 10/045,155 Page 5

Art Unit: 3627

To have provided and Internet network for Gotanda would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for providing such would have been to implement a common knowledge network communication system.

- 10. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892.
- 11. Applicant's attached Information Disclosure Statement has been briefly reviewed.
- 12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 571-272-6789. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexander G. Kalinowski can be reached on 571-272-6771. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Ru-Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3627